

Upper Captiva Fire & Rescue District

4511 Hodgepodge Lane

P.O. Box 322

Pineland, FL 33945

Phone: 239-472-8899 / Fax: 239-472-1582



servicing the community with pride

Robert Kinniry, Chief: UpperCapFD@aol.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

REGULAR MEETING

21 July 2017

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Zeke McDonald, Tom Jenkins, AJ LaVallie, Steve Sward (by phone), Bill Fry (by phone), and Chief Bob Kinniry.

ISLANDERS PRESENT: Charlie Skinner, Deb Skinner, Patty Howick (by phone), Bill Byrnes (by phone), and Arthur Mason (by phone).

1) CALL TO ORDER: Chairman McDonald called the meeting to order at 10:05 AM.

2) Taxpayer Request for Refund of 2015 & 2016 Special Assessment Payments ~~(00:00 – 26:17)~~: Fry asked the Chair to suspend the agenda to allow Ms. Howick, a South Banks taxpayer, to speak concerning her previously paid 2015 and 2016 ~~fire-Fire services-Services special-Special assessmentsAssessments~~. As a reminder to the Board, the Board approved a waiver of the unpaid 2014 special assessment at the May 2017 meeting, after the County declared her property as submerged/unbuildable in February 2017.

- Ms. Howick thanked the Board for the previous decision to forgive and cancel the unpaid 2014 special assessment and requested refund of the previously paid 2015 and 2016 assessments collected by the County, as part of her annual tax bill.
- Ms. Howick stated that it took over 1 year for the County to act upon her request to re-categorize her property, even though she believed that it was submerged the entire period of the special assessment and she asks for a refund of \$1,089.78, after the 4% early payment discount was taken (assessed \$592.05 in 2015 and \$543.14 in 2016, before discounts).
- Fry asked Ms. Howick to provide the Board with the background of why it took 2-1/2 years after the special assessment was first imposed to get the property re-categorized:
 - Ms. Howick stated that, in Oct 2014, she wrote a letter to Chief Pepper asking for relief and was told “not to worry about it”
 - Ms. Howick then paid the 2015 special assessment, as part of her annual tax bill from the County in Nov 2015 but received a bill from the District signed by Fry in Apr 2016 for the unpaid 2014 special assessment, stating that she had already paid the 2014 assessment, including interest
 - In Apr 2016, Fry told her that she still owed the 2014 assessment, the first year of a 10 year annual assessment, and what she paid in Nov 2015 was the 2015 assessment

- 41 ○ Fry also told her that she needed to contact the County to request re-categorization
42 from “Vacant/Residential” to “Submerged” and/or “Unbuildable” but that the
43 County gave her conflicting information between Apr 2016 and Feb 2017, when they
44 finally re-categorized the property as “Submerged”
- 45 ● Chair stated that the District had already spent that money in 2015 and 2016 and that
46 we weren’t in the position to refund it
 - 47 ● Fry told Ms. Howick that every property pays a different amount, based on the gross
48 square footage of improvements plus the square footage of land, charged roughly
49 \$250/quarter acre, rather than on the ad valorem valuation. Second, every property on
50 North Captiva Island receives fire protection and rescue services, even if the land is
51 vacant and, arguably, un-cleared vacant land may pose a greater fire hazard to the
52 community from a vegetation-fed fire, especially to the 400+ acres of state land between
53 her property and the homes on Upper Captiva. Lastly, the Board did approve the
54 equivalent of a \$500 “refund” by waiving the first year’s unpaid assessment, consistent
55 with past procedure to refund or waive the most recent fee at the time of the re-
56 categorization (she was billed for roughly \$1500 and paid roughly \$1000)
 - 57 ● Fry’s recommendation to the Board is that, even though we approved waiver of the
58 unpaid 1st year’s assessment, we not approve refund of the 2015 or 2016 special
59 assessments paid in prior years
 - 60 ● LaVallie asked whether, if the appeal and re-categorization had happened before
61 subsequent assessments were approved and charged, the lost amount of the fees would
62 have been re-allocated to every other property owner and the District would have still
63 have received the revenue, even if Ms. Howick’s property was exempted as
64 “Submerged”. Fry agreed that, if the property is categorized as submerged before the
65 roll is approved, the property is exempted and the remaining properties receive a slightly
66 higher allocation, keeping the overall revenue to the District from the fee the same,
67 while a waiver after the roll is approved by the Board and collected by the County, the
68 revenue is lost to the District. LaVallie stated that since the revenue can’t be recouped,
69 he agrees with the Chair that the request should be disapproved based on timeliness.
 - 70 ● Ms. Howick stated that she would provide a more detailed written appeal to the Board,
71 mailed to Chief Kinniry at the District’s address, at a future date and the Chair confirmed
72 that the Board would re-evaluate her written appeal, after referring it for a legal review.

73
74 3) MINUTES ~~(26:17 – 32:52)~~: Minutes of the 2 June Regular Meeting were read; **LaVallie**
75 **moved**, Jenkins **seconded**, and **all voted aye** to accept the minutes with corrections.

76
77 4) TREASURER’S REPORT ~~(32:52 – 49:48)~~:

- 78 ● Balance Sheet:
 - 79 ○ The Ad Valorem checking account had a 30 June ending accrued balance of
 - 80 \$4,902.30
 - 81 ○ The Ad Valorem Money Market Account had a 30 June ending accrued balance of
 - 82 \$561,796.87 (including \$267.24 in interest) plus a deposit of \$1,311.74 on 14 July
 - 83

- 84 ○ The Friend’s account had a 30 June ending balance of \$15,301.74 (an increase of
- 85 \$6.98 in interest)
- 86 ○ The Special Assessment checking account was closed on 13 June, with \$4,999.98
- 87 transferred to the Ad Valorem checking account, after \$20 in “no activity” fees were
- 88 refunded by the bank
- 89 ○ The Impact Fee account had a 30 June ending balance of \$2,218.38 (after an increase
- 90 of \$2.49 in interest and after transferring \$7,295.00 to the General Fund to
- 91 reimburse for the skid-mounted tank & pump unit, an Impact Fee eligible capital
- 92 purchase)
- 93 ○ Fry stated that there were no unexpected outstanding liabilities other than the usual
- 94 transfers of expenses between the General Fund and Special Assessment Fund. The
- 95 Special Assessment accounts receivable is down to \$9,902.77, after two payments;
- 96 one for 4541 Oro Pesos (\$264.06) and one for 4440 Smugglers (\$491.30) in
- 97 delinquent Year 1 Special Assessments. There are 13 remaining unpaid assessments:
- 98

	Owner	Owes	STRAP	Site Address
1	WILMINGTON SAVINGS FUND SOCIET	\$1,748.79	<u>05-45-21-11-00000.0010</u>	4511 HIDDEN
2	TSAKIRIDIS ANATASIOS + BAHRAMIS GUS J	\$225.91	<u>05-45-21-17-00001.0170</u>	4440 SCHOONER
3	ARANEDA EVELYN MARINA	\$235.48	<u>05-45-21-17-00005.0060</u>	4511 CUTLASS
4	ZANGHI CHARLES JOHN	\$221.86	<u>05-45-21-07-00000.0050</u>	4460 OYSTER SHELL
5	FISCHER JAMES C + MAZZA SALVATORE	\$1,283.98	<u>05-45-21-08-00000.0300</u>	4521 BUTTERFLY SHELL
6	NORTH CAPTIVA FISH CAMP LLC	\$271.14	<u>09-45-21-01-00000.0540</u>	11654 REDFISH SHORES
7	NORTH CAPTIVA FISH CAMP LLC	\$279.49	<u>09-45-21-01-00000.0550</u>	11650 REDFISH SHORES
8	LIBERTONE DANA + LIBERTONE LAURA	\$808.36	<u>15-45-21-01-0000A.0330</u>	12520 SOUTH BANKS
9	HENKEL EVERETT III + HENKEL PENNY	\$665.46	<u>15-45-21-01-0000A.0120</u>	12604 SOUTH BANKS
10	HERMANN G A + MYRL	\$657.75	<u>15-45-21-01-0000A.0110</u>	12608 SOUTH BANKS
11	ROSEN, RONALD	\$651.36	<u>15-45-21-01-0000A.0090</u>	12616 SOUTH BANKS
12	ROSEN, RONALD	\$785.80	<u>15-45-21-01-0000B.0090</u>	12617 SOUTH BANKS
13	LANZA MARK J TR	\$2,067.39	<u>15-45-21-01-0000B.0010</u>	12649 SOUTH BANKS
		\$9,902.77		

- 99
- 100 ● Consolidated Income and Expense Report:
- 101 ○ Nine months into the new FY, we are 75% through the year and have spent 67.56%
- 102 of the consolidated budget. In our major spending categories, Pay & Benefits is
- 103 71.24%, Operating Expenses is 63.87%, and Capital is 7.14%, all are well below the
- 104 straight line “glide path” of 75%.
- 105 ○ For Revenues, we are at 99.7% collected through 30 June (with only \$575 unpaid in
- 106 General Fund and \$1761 unpaid in Special Assessments, less than \$2400 total).
- 107 ● **LaVallie moved** to accept the June & July meetings’ Treasurer’s Reports, Jenkins
- 108 **seconded, all voted aye.**
- 109

110 5) CHIEF’S REPORT ~~(49:48 – 1:32:33)~~:

- 111
- 112 ● Calls Last Month: 67 to date (16 more calls since the last meeting);
- 113 ● FEMA Grant for High Volume Pump (750 gallons per minute): No updated status
- 114 ● GovDeals Sales: No updated status

- 115 • State Park Firebreak: No updated status; LaVallie will follow-up with Chad Latch
- 116 • 1988 Pierce Engine/Pumper:
 - 117 ○ The cost ~~estimate~~ for preliminary repairs to get the engine ready for a pump test is
 - 118 estimated at \$2,500
 - 119 ○ If the pump test is successful, the Chief will recommend replacing the tires and rims
 - 120 for an estimated additional total cost of \$4,500 - \$5,500 (if required for our island)
 - 121 ○ If the pump test fails, the Chief will have a list of required repairs and costs
 - 122 ○ **Fry moved** to approve expenditure of Repair & Maintenance funds up to and
 - 123 including the pump test and, if the test is successful, approve replacing the tires and
 - 124 rims, if required, **seconded** by Sward, **all voted aye**
 - 125 ○ If the pump test fails, the Chief will update the Board at the next meeting for future
 - 126 options but replacing the engine will likely cost \$50,000+
- 127 • Crew Boat:
 - 128 ○ The boat motor is taking water and the cost to fix it would be more than the motor is
 - 129 worth and the replacement cost for a new motor would be about \$15,700
 - 130 ○ Fry confirmed with Bookkeeper Lynch that the initial purchase and installation would
 - 131 be a capital cost that would be allocated 50/50 between General Fund and Special
 - 132 Assessment or about \$7800/fund
 - 133 ○ Chief stated that, while the boat is out of the water, it would likely need the bottom
 - 134 repainted at a cost of \$600 - \$1000, which would be a Repair & Maintenance cost
 - 135 ○ **LaVallie moved** that we approve replacement of the crew boat motor, **seconded** by
 - 136 Jenkins, **all voted aye**.
- 137 • Station Generator Cover/Cowling:
 - 138 ○ After servicing was recently completed, the aluminum cowling/cover is rusted out
 - 139 and it will cost \$7000 to fabricate a new one, charged to Repair & Maintenance
 - 140 ○ Board directed the Chief to provide a written analysis of alternatives to repair the
 - 141 cowling at \$7000, identify the expected lifespan of our 13+ year-old generator, or
 - 142 purchase of a new 40kw generator at the August meeting
- 143 • Contender: Required repair of the trim and repainting the boat bottom for about \$2800
- 144 that will be charged to Repair & Maintenance was completed this month
- 145 • Station Maintenance:
 - 146 ○ The materials estimate is about \$2200, planned with firefighter labor
 - 147 ○ Chair asked whether any of that was going to be contracted out and whether the
 - 148 estimated costs included scaffolding, ladders, and other specialty construction
 - 149 equipment; Chief stated that it currently does not include rental of specialty
 - 150 equipment
 - 151 ○ Board directed the Chief to provide a written plan for all building repairs NLT the
 - 152 September meeting for a planned 1st/2nd Quarter FY18 project when weather is
 - 153 better
- 154 • Air Conditioning systems will be serviced next week and the service may identify the
- 155 need for significant maintenance or replacement
- 156 • Volunteer Program: Working with Bill Byrnes to document the proposed new program,
- 157 with a planned update at the September meeting

- 158 • The Board accepted the Chief's Report
159

160 6) COMMISSIONER REPORTS:
161

162 A) Sward-Legal Representation ~~(1:32:33 – 2:01:09)~~:
163

- 164 • Chair updated the Board that Attorney Pritt resigned, effective 21 July 2017, and will
165 assist with any transition required to another attorney
166 • Sward has contacted Attorney Pringle for a proposal on a retainer and/or hourly basis
167 since he is an attorney in the Fort Myers area, representing other fire and municipal
168 entities in the area
169 ○ Pringle doesn't generally work on a retainer basis for his other clients but is willing to
170 become the Board's attorney, with a current rate of \$225/hour
171 ○ Asked whether he would demand to attend all District meetings or whether our
172 current practice off selectively asking our attorney to attend meetings, as needed,
173 and providing written guidance or assistance with drafting and/or reviewing
174 resolutions before meetings was satisfactory and he indicated that was amenable to
175 that
176 ○ Asked whether he had any conflicts of interest with other North Captiva Island clients
177 and he stated that he hasn't represented an islander in many years and that he has no
178 current clients on-island
179 ○ That Pringle will submit a bill to the District for his prior and future work for the
180 District for working with LaVallie, on the potential referendum question for a future
181 special assessment for public pathways but that the 18/19 July letters from Pringle
182 and Nabors, Giblin & Nickerson (NG&N) would be viewed as "requests for
183 information" and not chargeable to the District
184 ○ Sward will check with Pritt to see if he has any other local attorney recommendations
185 for the Board
186 ○ Sward recommends that we schedule a meeting with Pringle and any other potential
187 attorney by the August, September or October meeting, before making a decision to
188 hire a replacement attorney since Pritt has stated that he will assist in the transition
189 and that he will likely provide legal advice until a replacement decision has been
190 made by the Board
191 ○ LaVallie and Jenkins would like an on-island meeting sooner rather than later while
192 Sward, Chair, and Fry stated that there needn't be a rush to an August meeting
193 ○ Chief suggested that Sward should ask Pritt whether we need to advertise and put
194 out a request for bids as a government agency and Sward agreed to ask
195 ○ Fry asked whether Pringle or any other prospective attorney would provide a
196 Curriculum Vitae on schooling, certifications (if any), and any other current
197 governmental clients, as well as some type of proposed written agreement with
198 hourly rates, that we could use as part of our decision process
199 ○ Board delegates to Sward permission to contact Pritt to:
200 ■ Confirm that Pritt will continue to represent the District on an "as needed" basis
201 as part of a-the transition to a new attorney

- 202 ▪ Ask for any other recommendations for other local attorneys that he may see as
203 qualified for our purposes
204 ▪ Ask whether we must competitively bid our legal services under Florida law
205 ▪ And that Sward will contact any qualified attorneys and provide those names and
206 details at the August meeting
207
- 208 B) LaVallie-Community Committee Update ~~(2:01:09—2:39:10)~~: The Commissioners received
209 a written proposal and cost estimate from Pringle and his suggested co-counsel (NG&N)
210 to study and provide a recommendation based in law for the District to implement a new
211 special assessment for maintenance of privately-owned/public access pathways on the
212 island and the legal steps required to proceed to referendum for voter approval. The key
213 points to the proposal are that NG&N has expertise with helping other local Districts
214 through the referendum process and the cost estimate was \$35K-\$40K for NG&N, divided
215 into 3 discrete phases, plus some unknown costs for Pringle at \$225/hour.
216
- 217 • Public Comment: As a property owner in numerous rural areas, the Fire District seems to
218 be the ideal method to solve our dangerous road conditions since he is not sure how to
219 safely move a severely injured patient to the airfield for evacuation. Many of our owners
220 are probably unaware of how bad our roads currently are and they get worse after rainy
221 season starts. Both he and his wife are willing to do whatever it takes to help the District
222 any way that they can and that they believe that many of their friends and neighbors are
223 supportive of the Fire District continuing to look into the feasibility of the District
224 maintaining our major roads, recognizing many roads on the north end especially are on
225 the lowest part of the island and may not be easily or inexpensively fixed.
 - 226 • New Referendum Required: Chair asked the Board whether there was any thought by the
227 individual commissioners to implement a separate, new special assessment without a
228 new referendum and all 5 commissioners stated that they would not vote to implement a
229 new special assessment fee without a new referendum.
 - 230 • Public Comment: Glad that the Board recognizes that, even if arguably legal to
231 implement a new special assessment fee for pathway maintenance, it would be
232 scandalous without a new referendum vote.
 - 233 • Nabors, Giblin & Nickerson (NG&N) Proposal: Fry stated that a major assumption in the
234 NG&N proposal is that *“the District has or will obtain the necessary legal access to
235 maintain and improve the private roadway easements located on Upper Captiva Island.”*
236 That assumption needs to be turned into either a fact or an arguably defensible position
237 by Pringle before we approve the NG&N proposal and begin the steps toward
238 referendum.
 - 239 • Chair recapped the Board’s broad agreements:
 - 240 ○ The Board will not proceed with a new special assessment without first determining
 - 241 the legality to maintain and improve the privately-owned/public access pathway
 - 242 easements located on Upper Captiva Island

- 243 ○ The Board will then work toward a new referendum to put the question to the voters
244 before implementing a new special assessment at some currently unknown future
245 date
- 246 ▪ Chair stated that, if we don't piggy-back on a currently scheduled Lee County
247 election that includes our District, the District must reimburse the County 100% of
248 the mail ballot costs
- 249 ▪ And Fry stated that if we don't piggy-back on a scheduled election, it appears that
250 we must apply to the Florida Secretary of State 120 days before the proposed
251 ballot for approval, based on Florida Statute
- 252 ▪ And lastly, the next scheduled election that will include our voters will be the 28
253 August 2018 Primary Election
- 254 ○ Fry has three pending questions that Bookkeeper Lynch and/or Chief Kinniry will ask
255 of the Lee County Tax Collector, hopefully before the August meeting:
- 256 ▪ Will the County list a separate special assessment line on the annual tax bills for
257 pathway maintenance?
- 258 ▪ Does our existing resolution allow the County to accept and collect a separate
259 assessment for any future special assessments or are we required to submit a new
260 resolution asking for County collection?
- 261 ▪ Can the District submit a resolution for the County to collect a newly identified
262 separate special assessment for pathway maintenance by 31 December 2017,
263 before a special referendum is scheduled or passed, recognizing that the
264 referendum might fail, a new assessment roll wouldn't be provided, and the
265 collection might not occur?
- 266 ○ Sward stated that everyone needs to recognize that there is a large cost of \$40K -
267 \$50K or more that the District will have to pay up front, out of the District's regular
268 budget, to get to referendum:
- 269 ▪ Sward reminded everyone that if the referendum fails, that money will have been
270 wasted
- 271 ▪ McDonald stated that those referendum costs are reimbursable from the new
272 special assessment, if the referendum passes
- 273 ▪ But Fry stated that would mean that the entire proposed first-year assessment
274 would be to pay the legal costs of the referendum, with nothing spent on pathway
275 maintenance, if the Board decided to reimburse the General Fund for a successful
276 referendum OR the first year assessment would be 2-3 times higher than initially
277 proposed
- 278 ▪ Public Comment: Congratulations to the Board for having a coherent debate on a
279 productive path ahead. The Board may want to ask the UCCA to conduct an
280 online survey on the question of District-funded pathway maintenance, with a
281 checkbox for respondents to identify whether they are a registered voter.
282 Conducting a UCCA on-line survey would identify how high the risk would be for a
283 failed referendum without blindly spending the \$40K-\$50K in referendum costs.

284
285 7) OLD BUSINESS ~~(2:39:10 – 2:40:34)~~:
286

- 287 A) Legal Representation: Discussed during Commissioner Reports
288
289 B) Officer Chain of Command/Organization Chart: Tabled until the August meeting
290
291 C) Station Building Maintenance: Discussed during the Chief's Report
292
293 D) FY18 Proposed Budget (Pay & Benefits): Tabled until the August meeting
294
295 8) NEW BUSINESS ~~(2:40:34 — 3:36:46)~~:
296
297 A) FY17 & FY18 Meeting Schedules: Tabled until the August meeting
298
299 B) Resolution for Millage Rate & Preliminary FY18 Budget Hearing (Resolution 2017-
300 072117(1)): Read in its entirety by Chair, **Sward moved** to adopt, **seconded** by Jenkins,
301 **LaVallie voted aye, Jenkins vote aye, McDonald voted aye, Sward voted aye, and Fry**
302 **voted aye** to adopt.
303
304 C) Resolution for Line of Credit Renewal (Resolution 2017-072117(2)): Read in its entirety
305 by Chair, **Sward moved** to adopt, **seconded** by Jenkins, **all voted aye** to adopt.
306
307 D) Resolution for Budget Amendment 2017-003 (Resolution 2017-072117(3)): Read in its
308 entirety by Chair, **Sward moved** to adopt, **seconded** by Jenkins, **all voted aye** to adopt.
309
310 E) FY18 Special Assessment Methodology (First Draft) ~~(2:53:20 — 3:18:13)~~: This is the first
311 draft of the proposed FY18 (Year 4) Special Assessment Roll. Once any proposed
312 changes to the roll are identified today, the final version will be provided at the August
313 meeting for Board approval by resolution.
314
315 • Fry's Suggested Changes: There are a number of properties that were exempted last
316 year and placed in various separate Groups. The County has updated the property
317 classifications or ownership and we need to agree to move them from last year's group
318 to a different one this year:
319
320 ○ There are 5 properties that were listed as Submerged (Group C) last year that have
321 been reclassified to a new, previously unseen classification and Fry proposed
322 changing their group this year. Changing from Group C (Submerged) to Group B
323 (Docks) has no impact on the assessment. Changing from Group C to Group A will
324 cost North Captiva Marina about \$500:

<u>NEW CLASSIFICATION/DOR CODE</u>	<u>OWNER NAME</u>	<u>STRAP</u>	<u>SIZE</u>	<u>GROUP</u>
MISCELLANEOUS RESIDENTIAL / 07	GEEWAX JOHN J	05452116000010020	0.01	From C to B
MISCELLANEOUS RESIDENTIAL / 07	MITCHELL TIMOTHY C SR &	05452116000010010	0.01	From C to B
MISCELLANEOUS RESIDENTIAL / 07	MITCHELL TIMOTHY C SR +	05452116000010030	0.01	From C to B
MISCELLANEOUS RESIDENTIAL / 07	THAIN JOHNTHAIN +	05452116000010040	0.02	From C to B
AIRPORTS, TERMINALS, PIERS / 20	NORTH CAPTIVA MARINA GROUP LLC	05452116000010000	0.51	From C to A

- 325
- 326 ○ **LaVallie moved** to accept the proposed changes of these 5 properties from Group C
- 327 into Groups B & A as listed, **seconded by Jenkins, all voted aye.**
- 328 ○ There are 5 properties that were listed as Tax Exempt (Group D) last year that have
- 329 either been reclassified or sold to new owners:
- 330 ▪ Fry proposed changing the Fouts property to Group C (Submerged) since it is
- 331 currently listed as “SEWAGE DISPOSAL, WASTE / 96”, while Chair stated a belief that it
- 332 was buildable property; Fry will follow up with the Appraiser for verification
- 333 ▪ Fry proposed changing the Michigan State University properties to Group A
- 334 (Assessed) since they weren’t exempted by the County and paid Ad Valorem
- 335 taxes in 2015 and 2016. The change will cost MSU about \$400
- 336 ▪ Fry proposed changing the NCIC right-of-way property to Group A (Assessed) that
- 337 will cost NCIC about \$250 (it is a taxed property on the ad valorem roll and all
- 338 other unrecorded rights-of-way that every other property owner has is taxed)
- 339 ▪ Fry proposed changing the Toll property to Group A (Assessed) that will cost Toll
- 340 about \$270 (purchased from Calusa Land Trust, previously tax exempt, but,
- 341 according to the County Appraiser, it will be on the ad valorem roll this year)
- 342 ▪ **LaVallie moved** to accept the proposed changes of these 5 properties from
- 343 Group D into Groups C & A as listed and, if the County re-categorizes the Fouts
- 344 property, it can also be moved to A, **seconded by Jenkins, all voted aye.**
- 345

<u>NEW CLASSIFICATION/DOR CODE</u>	<u>OWNER NAME</u>	<u>STRAP</u>	<u>ACRE</u>	<u>GROUP</u>
SEWAGE DISPOSAL, WASTE / 96	FOUTS ALAN E	054521140000A0050	0.42	From D to C
VACANT RESIDENTIAL / 00	MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY	324421020000C0030	0.20	From D to A
VACANT RESIDENTIAL / 00	MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY	324421020000C0040	0.21	From D to A
RIGHT-OF-WAY / 94	NORTH CAPTIVA ISLAND CLUB INC	05452116000020000	0.25	From D to A
VACANT RESIDENTIAL / 00	TOLL ABEL & CATHERINE B	324421010000B0010	0.27	From D to A

- 346 ● **Fry again moved** that we NOT exempt Salty Approach LLC from the Special Assessment
- 347 but the motion died for lack of a second:
- 348 ○ The property has been and remains on the ad valorem tax roll
- 349 ○ The estimated cost to Salty Approach LLC would be about \$6900, reducing 690+
- 350 other owner’s assessments by about \$10/quarter-acre
- 351

352 F) Chief’s Performance Assessment ~~(3:18:13 — 3:36:46)~~: Commissioners were asked by

353 Sward at the last meeting to provide written comments to Chief Kinniry and then have

354 an oral discussion about his performance assessments before today’s meeting. To

355 demonstrate the use forms for an Officer Peer Review of our Chiefs that was attempted

356 18+ months ago, Fry used the previously approved format and suggests the document

357 be forwarded to the other Commissioners for illustrative purposes. All Commissioners

358 recapped their oral assessments of Chief’s performance over the last 12 months.

359

- 360 ● Based on the Board’s assessment comments, **Sward moved** that the Board renew Chief
- 361 Kinniry’s contract for an additional year, through 30 September 2018, **seconded by**
- 362 **LaVallie, all voted aye.**

- 363 • **McDonald moved** that the Board discuss Chief Kinniry's compensation for FY18 at the
364 August Board meeting, **seconded by LaVallie, all voted aye.**

365

366 9) PUBLIC COMMENT: Comments made throughout the meeting.

367

368 10) NEXT DATE: Next Regular Meeting on Friday, 18 August 2017, beginning at 10 AM.

369

370 11) ADJOURN – **Moved by Jenkins, seconded by Fry;** meeting adjourned at 12:43 PM.

371

372 Respectfully submitted,

373

374

375

376 Bill Fry

377 Secretary/Treasurer

UNAPPROVED DRAFT